



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/535,081

12/21/2005

Martin Schlun

117163.00135

2013

21324 7590 04/22/2009

HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP

One GOJO Plaza

Suite 300

AKRON, OH 44311-1076

EXAMINER

TANNER, JOCELYN C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3731

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/22/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@hahnlaw.com

akron-docket@hotmail.com

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/535,081 | Applicant(s) SCHLUN, MARTIN | |
| | Examiner JOCELIN C. TANNER | Art Unit 3731 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOCELIN C. TANNER. (3) John Cunniff.

(2) Anhtuan Nguyen. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 2.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sgro (US Patent No. 5,496,365).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Figures 9 and 10 were explained to show the invention more clearly. However, the way the claims are presented, due to translation, are still anticipated by the prior art. Figures 9 and 10 are distinguishable from the prior art but it was recommended that the claims be further defined to reflect figures 9 and 10.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|---|
| /Jocelin C. Tanner/ Examiner, Art Unit 3731 | /Anhtuan T. Nguyen/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3731 |
|--|---|